

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/766,675	HUBERT ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MaiKhanh Nguyen	2176

All Participants:

(1) MaiKhanh Nguyen.

Status of Application: now allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Patrick R. Roche (Reg. No. 29,580).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized the Examiner to amend the Claims as presented in the Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MaiKhanh Nguyen/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2176

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)